Bolsover District Council

Healthy, Safe, Clean and Green Communities Scrutiny Committee

27th February 2018

Annual Review of the Bolsover Community Safety Partnership

Report of the Scrutiny and Elections Officer

This report is public

Purpose of the Report

- To clarify the areas of enquiry for the 2018 review of the Bolsover Community Safety Partnership (CSP).
- To provide members with the necessary background information in support of the review.

1 Report Details

- 1.1 This report provides the necessary background information for committee when completing their Annual Review of the Community Safety Partnership.
- 1.2 Section 19 of the Police and Justice Act requires every local authority to have a Crime and Disorder Committee with the power to review or scrutinise decisions made or other action taken in connection with the discharge by the responsible authorities of their crime and disorder functions. (Responsible authorities are effectively the statutory partners within a community safety partnership i.e. Police, local authorities (county and district), Fire and Rescue Authority, Probation Trusts and Clinical Commissioning Groups.)
- 1.3 Attached at Appendix 7.1, are at a set of questions approved my Committee prior to this session, summarising the main areas of enquiry.
- 1.4 Attached at Appendix 7.2, is a copy of the current Action Plan of the 2017-20 Partnership Plan which are subject to review for the 2018/19 year of the plan. The revised version will be presented to a subsequent meeting of the committee as part of the 2018/19 work programme.
- 1.5 Attached at Appendix 7.3, is a copy of the current Risk & Threat Priorities for 2017-20 which are subject to review for the 2018/19 year of the plan. The revised version will be presented to a subsequent meeting of the committee as part of the 2018/19 work programme.

2 Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation

2.1 Completion of the Annual Review of the CSP is required by legislation and this area falls within the remit of the Healthy, Safe, Clean and Green Scrutiny Committee.

3 Consultation and Equality Impact

- 3.1 All Scrutiny Committees are committed to equality and diversity in undertaking their statutory responsibilities and ensure equalities are considered as part of all Reviews. The selection criteria specifically asks members to identify where the topic suggested affects particular population groups or geographies.
- 3.2 The committee was consulted prior to the review to establish current issues and key lines of enquiry. Relevant officers were also approached prior to the review to ensure Members were fully briefed on current developments that may be pertinent to the agreement of their lines of enquiry.

4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

4.1 The committee is required by legislation to scrutinise the CSP. As such there is no alternative but to complete this review in order to comply, as detailed at 1.2 of this report.

5 **Implications**

5.1 Finance and Risk Implications

5.1.1 The authority has a duty under s.17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (as amended by subsequent legislation) to consider crime and disorder implications. Failure to comply with this duty would breach the legislation and leave the authority at risk of challenge.

5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

- 5.2.1 In carrying out scrutiny reviews the Council is exercising its scrutiny powers as laid out in s.21 of the Local Government Act 2000 and subsequent legislation which added/amended these powers e.g. the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.
- 5.2.2 Section 19 of the Police and Justice Act requires every local authority to have a Crime and Disorder Committee with the power to review or scrutinise decisions made or other action taken in connection with the discharge by the responsible authorities of their crime and disorder functions. (Responsible authorities are effectively the statutory partners within a community safety partnership i.e. Police, local authorities (county and district), Fire and Rescue Authority, Probation Trusts and Clinical Commissioning Groups.)

5.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

5.3.1 None from this report.

6 Recommendations

- 6.1 That Members reappraise themselves of the questions posed to officers (Appendix 7.1) and prepare additional questions, as previously discussed in committee, pending receipt of the response from officers.
- That Members review the background documentation attached at Appendices 7.2 and 7.3 to refresh their knowledge of current delivery.

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision?	No		
A Key Decision is an executive decision which has a			
significant impact on two or more District wards or			
which results in income or expenditure to the Council			
above the following thresholds:			
BDC: Revenue - £75,000 □			
Capital - £150,000 □			
NEDDC: Revenue - £100,000 □			
Capital - £250,000 □			
☑ Please indicate which threshold applies			
Is the decision subject to Call-In?	No		
(Only Key Decisions are subject to Call-In)			
District Wards Affected	All		
Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy	All		
Framework			

8 <u>Document Information</u>

Appendix No	Title	
7.1	Annual Scrutiny Review of Bolsover Community Safety Partnership – Key Lines of Enquiry. Pages 23 to 24.	
7.2	Bolsover Community Safety Partnership Plan 2017-20. Pages 25 to 31.	
7.3	Prioritisation Information against Risk & Threat Priorities for Bolsover CSP Partnership Plan 2017-20. Pages 32 to 38.	
Background Papers (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers)		
Annual Review of Community Safety Partnership May 2017.		
Report Author		Contact Number
Joanne Wilson,	Scrutiny & Elections Officer	01246 242385

Report Reference -